

hep-th/9304145
TEP-9R
April 1993

A Bilocal Field Theory in Four Dimensions

Takayuki Hori

Institute of Physics, Teikyo University

Otsuka 359, Hachioji-shi, Tokyo 192-03, Japan

Abstract

A bilocal field theory having Möbius gauge invariance is proposed. In four dimensions there exists a zero momentum state of the first quantized model, which belongs to a non-trivial BRS cohomology class. A field theory lagrangian having a gauge invariance only in four dimensions is constructed.

PACS numbers: 11.17.+y, 11.10.Lm, 11.10.Ef

The string model has achieved some impressive successes in unifying the fundamental forces of nature. However, the proliferation of vacuum states [1] makes it difficult to build the unique theory of everything. Unfortunately, the structure of the model, in particular the superstring, seems too complicated to construct a covariant non-perturbative framework which may remove the degeneracy.

Nonetheless, the string model has brought us many remarkable properties, *e.g.*, the critical dimension, the duality, the finiteness, $E_8 \times E_8$ etc. Among them the first two are the oldest and the most characteristic features distinguishing the string model from others. Does a truncated model of string exist, which possesses the above features? The duality may be a consequence of $SL(2, R)$ (Möbius) symmetry and possibly not of the full Virasoro algebra. It is conceivable that a system with finite degrees of freedom, which has infinite components after the second quantization, has the above properties. The rigid open string model with the end points as the dynamical variables [2], however, has the larger constraint algebra than $SL(2, R)$, and not a subalgebra of the Virasoro algebra.

In this note we propose a bilocal field theory based on the bilocal particle model [3] whose constraint algebra is precisely $SL(2, R)$. It is shown that the model has special properties in four space time dimensions. First there exists a zero momentum state in the first quantized model, which is physical in four dimensions. In the field theory a star product of two bilocal fields can be defined, admitting the derivative law for the BRS operator and ensuring the gauge invariance in four dimensions. Thus an interacting field theory is constructed in an analogous way to Witten's method in the covariant string field theory [4]. Although we cannot claim the critical dimension of the model is four, we get a general impression that the dimension four is special in the model.

Let us start with the following action of two relativistic particles in D dimensions with

mixing terms [3]:

$$I = \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2g_1} \dot{x}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2g_2} \dot{x}_2^2 + e(x_1 \dot{x}_2 - x_2 \dot{x}_1) \right], \quad (1)$$

where $x_a, g_a (a = 1, 2)$ are the coordinates of the particles and einbeins of each world line, respectively, and the dots denote the derivatives with respect to the world line parameter τ (we omit the space time indices unless necessary). e is the coupling constant with dimension of mass square. In the previous note [3] we showed that the action has a hidden symmetry generated by

$$\delta x_a = \varepsilon_a \dot{x}_a + \varepsilon_0 \sum_{b=1,2} s^{ab} \frac{\dot{x}_b}{g_b}, \quad (2a)$$

$$\delta g_a = \frac{d}{d\tau} (\varepsilon_a g_a) - (-1)^a 4e \varepsilon_0 g_a, \quad (2b)$$

where $s^{12} = s^{21} = 1, s^{11} = s^{22} = 0$, and the local parameters ε 's are not independent but related by

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_0 + 2e g_1 g_2 (\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1) = 0. \quad (3)$$

When $e \neq 0$, ε_2 is expressible in terms of ε_0 and ε_1 by Eq.(3), and the above transformations contain ε_0 (and ε_1) and its derivatives with respect to τ up to second (first) orders. Consequently, the physical degrees of freedom reduces by five. Two of them fix g_a , leaving $2D - 3$ components of coordinates being physical. Direct calculations show that the three degrees of gauge freedom relevant to the unphysical coordinates form $SL(2, R)$ algebra. The above facts are further confirmed by the canonical formalism given below. If $e = 0$, *i.e.*, the two relativistic particles are independent to each other, then the physical degrees of freedom, of course, is $2D - 2$. In this sense let us call our system as a *bilocal particle* rather than two particles.

The canonical hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \lambda_1 L_1 + \lambda_{-1} L_{-1} + \sum_{a=1,2} \Lambda_a \Pi_a, \quad (4)$$

where

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{4e}(p_1 - ex_2)^2, \quad (5a)$$

$$L_{-1} = -\frac{1}{4e}(p_2 + ex_1)^2. \quad (5b)$$

p_a and Π_a are the canonical conjugates of x_a and g_a , respectively, and λ 's and Λ 's are the lagrange multipliers. The canonical equations coincide with the Euler equations if one puts $\lambda_1 = 2eg_1$, $\lambda_{-1} = -2eg_2$ and $\Lambda_a = \dot{g}_a$. But they are arbitrary functions of canonical variables in the Dirac formalism [5], which merely fix the gauge. Hereafter we choose the gauge $\Lambda_a = 0$ corresponding to $\dot{g}_a = 0$. Preservation of the primary constraint, $\Pi_a = 0$, along the τ development requires the secondary constraint, $L_{\pm 1} = 0$ whose preservation, in turn, requires the tertiary constraint,

$$L_0 = -\frac{i}{4e}(p_1 - ex_2)(p_2 + ex_1) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Finally $\dot{L}_0 = 0$ is guaranteed by $L_{\pm 1} = 0$. Corresponding to the constraints, $L_{0,\pm 1} = \Pi_{1,2} = 0$, we have five unphysical canonical pairs, and the number of physical coordinates is $2D - 3$ as is declared above.

Now let us proceed to the quantum theory. Replacing p_a by $-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}$ in L_a we get the quantum operators $\hat{L}_0$ and $\hat{L}_{\pm 1}$ which form $SL(2, R)$:

$$[\hat{L}_n, \hat{L}_m] = (n - m)\hat{L}_{n+m} + 2(a - \frac{D}{4})\delta_{n+m}, (n, m = 0, \pm 1), \quad (7)$$

where the central term is merely attributed to the ordering ambiguity of $\hat{L}_0$ which we write as $\hat{L}_0 = -\frac{i}{4e}(-i\partial_1 - ex_2)(-i\partial_2 + ex_1) - a$. The constant a plays no role because of the finite degrees of freedom, henceforth we choose $a = \frac{D}{4}$. The nilpotent BRS operator is written in this notation of $\hat{L}_0$ as

$$Q = \sum_{n=0,\pm 1} c_n \hat{L}_n + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{n,m=0,\pm 1} (n - m)c_n c_m \mathcal{P}_{n+m}, \quad (8)$$

where c 's are ghost variables and $\mathcal{P}_n = i\frac{\partial}{\partial c_n}$. The requirement of the nilpotency of Q removes the ordering ambiguity in Q at all, making Q independent on any arbitrary parameters. (If we choose, say, $a = 137$, then we have a central term in the algebra defined in Eq.(7), and by the requirement of the nilpotency the BRS operator acquires the extra term, $(137 - \frac{D}{4})c_0$, compensating the shift in $\hat{L}_0$. Of course, *e.g.*, Eq.(17) derived later is unchanged.) This is the common property of any systems with finite degrees of freedom. Note the space time dimension is not specified by the nilpotency as opposed to the string model [6].

The physical states are defined by the Kugo-Ojima condition [7],

$$Q | phys \rangle = 0. \quad (9)$$

At first sight the physical state condition would amount to vanishing all $\hat{L}$'s as they operate to $| phys \rangle$, since in our notation the central term in Eq.(7) is absent. However, there exists an alternative scheme which is possible in four dimensions as is described later. This is caused by an unusual kinematical symmetry as is shown below.

The space time translations and the Lorentz transformations are generated by

$$\tilde{p}_+ = p_1 + ex_2, \quad (10a)$$

$$\tilde{p}_- = p_2 - ex_1, \quad (10b)$$

$$M_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{a=1,2} p_{a[\mu} x_{a\nu]}, \quad (10c)$$

where $p_a = -i\partial_a$. The validity of Eqs.(10) is confirmed by the defining equations of the momenta in terms of x 's and $\dot{x}$'s. It is important to note the difference of signs between Eqs.(10a,b) and Eqs.(5a,b). Thus the motions of the two particles are not necessarily light-like by the constraints, $\hat{L}_{0,\pm 1} = 0$. The generators satisfy the algebra

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\lambda\rho}] = i\eta_{\rho[\mu} M_{\nu]\lambda} - i\eta_{\lambda[\mu} M_{\nu]\rho}, \quad (11a)$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{p}_{\pm\lambda}] = -i\eta_{\lambda[\mu} \tilde{p}_{\pm\nu]}, \quad (11b)$$

$$[\tilde{p}_{+\mu}, \tilde{p}_{-\nu}] = 2ei\eta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (11c)$$

It is a quite important fact that the momenta of each particle, $\tilde{p}_{\pm}$, cannot have definite values simultaneously because of the *uncertainty relation* (11c). The physical states should belong to irreducible representations of the algebra, denote $\mathcal{P}$, defined by Eqs.(11), which contains the Poincaré algebra.

A short calculation shows that

$$[\tilde{p}_{\pm\mu}, \hat{L}_{0,\pm 1}] = [M_{\mu\nu}, \hat{L}_{0,\pm 1}] = 0. \quad (12)$$

This means that the translations and the Lorentz transformations of the hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ amount to changes of the Lagrange multipliers, *i.e.*, the gauge choice. A state belonging to an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{P}$ is transformed by the operation of $\hat{L}_{0,\pm 1}$ to a gauge equivalent state. Since the $SL(2, R)$ commutes with $\mathcal{P}$ the gauge equivalent states have common kinematical quantum numbers.

Now the ground state is defined by

$$M_{\mu\nu} | 0 \rangle = \tilde{p}_{\mu} | 0 \rangle = 0, \quad (13)$$

where $\tilde{p} = \tilde{p}_+ + \tilde{p}_-$ is the total momentum. We call the ground state as *vacuum*, since it has the quantum number of the Poincaré vacuum. Let us consider the representations on the configuration space spanned by $(x_a^{\mu}, c_{0,\pm 1})$. By solving Eqs.(13) we obtain

$$| 0 \rangle = F e^{\frac{ie}{2}(x_1^2 - x_2^2)}, \quad (14)$$

where F is an arbitrary function of $(x_1 - x_2)^2$ and the ghost variables. Note that the translational invariant state is not a constant but expressed by the non trivial function of x_a . This is a consequence of the fact that the total momentum is the sum of the mutually non-commuting momenta of two particles.

The vacuum state should not necessarily be a physical state as in the case of the string model where the ground state of the first quantized model is generally unphysical. In fact

the vacuum is not physical if it has zero ghost number as we see shortly. On the other hand, if the vacuum is physical we can construct a physical *Fock space* by acting kinematic operators on the vacuum as is shown later. So let us seek the possibility of existence of the physical vacuum with non-zero ghost number.

We assume that the vacuum is a non-trivial element of the BRS cohomology, *i.e.*, it satisfies the physical state condition *and* is not written as $Q | \chi \rangle$ for some $| \chi \rangle$. There are four possibilities according to the ghost number of the vacuum, defined by $N_g = -i \sum_{n=0,\pm 1} c_n \mathcal{P}_n$. If $N_g(vac) = 0$, then all $\hat{L}_a(a = 0, \pm 1)$ must vanish as operating on the vacuum. However, we see this is impossible as follows. F is determined only by $\hat{L}_1 | 0 \rangle = 0$, and the vacuum would be expressed as

$$| 0 - \rangle_0 = e^{ie(x_1 - x_2)x_2}. \quad (15a)$$

But $\hat{L}_{0,-1} | 0 \rangle = 0$ cannot be satisfied any more. For later convenience we write also the solution of $\hat{L}_{-1} | 0 \rangle = 0$:

$$| 0 + \rangle_0 = e^{ie(x_1 - x_2)x_1}, \quad (15b)$$

which does not vanish by the operations of $\hat{L}_1$ and $\hat{L}_0$. Next possibility is $N_g(vac) = 1$. Write $| 0 \rangle$ as $\sum_{n=0,\pm 1} c_n A_n$. If $A_0 \neq 0$, then the physical state condition is solved as $| 0 \rangle = Q \hat{L}_0^{-1} A_0$, *i.e.*, a trivial element of the BRS cohomology. (In showing this we have used the relation, $\hat{L}_{\pm 1} f(\hat{L}_0) = f(\hat{L}_0 \pm 1) \hat{L}_{\pm 1}$ for any function f .) If $A_0 = 0$, then it follows that $\hat{L}_{-1} A_1 = \hat{L}_1 A_{-1} = 0$. Then, the vacuum must be written as a linear combination of

$$| 0 - \rangle_1 = c_{-1} | 0 - \rangle_0, \quad (16a)$$

and

$$| 0 + \rangle_1 = c_1 | 0 + \rangle_0. \quad (16b)$$

The physical state condition, however, is not satisfied automatically. In fact we see

$$Q | 0 \pm \rangle_1 = \mp \left(\frac{D}{4} - 1 \right) c_0 | 0 \pm \rangle_1. \quad (17)$$

Hence, if the dimension of the space time is four we have the non-trivial solutions with ghost number one, expressed in Eqs.(16a) and (16b). (Needless to say that Eq.(17) is not a consequence of the particular choice $a = \frac{D}{4}$.) Next we assume $N_g(vac) = 2$. The vacuum must be of the form:

$$|0 \pm\rangle_2 = c_0 |0 \pm\rangle_1. \quad (18)$$

These states satisfy the physical state condition in arbitrary dimensions. If $D \neq 4$, however, these states are trivial elements of the BRS cohomology as is seen from Eq.(17). Since there are three ghost variables the final possibility is $N_g(vac) = 3$. Any states with ghost number three satisfy the physical state condition. But it is easy to see that they are all trivial elements of the BRS cohomology. Therefore, we conclude that the assumption of the physical state condition for the vacuum specifies the dimension of the space time to be four, the physical dimension! It must be stressed, however, that this does not mean the model is proved to have critical dimension, since the ordinary unphysical vacuum exists in any dimensions.

Now let us give some speculations on the construction of a field theory. We want to obtain a functional action in terms of bilocal fields, *i.e.*, arbitrary functions of x_1, x_2 and the ghost variables. It is convenient to expand a bilocal field in terms of a complete system of base functions. As is well known in the compact group one can construct a system of base functions in a function space, the completeness of which in L_2 , the set of all square integrable functions, is guaranteed by the Peter-Wyle theorem on the compact topological group. In our case it is natural to employ the algebra, $\mathcal{P}$, defined in Eq.(11), for the purpose of constructing the complete system, since $\mathcal{P}$ generates the global symmetry of the first-quantized action and should provide a base for defining “kinematic” quantum numbers.

Before defining the field theory let us consider the representation of $\mathcal{P}$, which is constructed on specific vacuums. In four dimensions the vacuum has the four fold degeneracy

expressed in Eqs.(16a,b) and (18). We discard, for the moment, the vacuum states with ghost number two and the one having c_1 as the ghost factor. The only quantities in our hands, which would create new states by operating on the vacuum, are x_1, x_2, p_1 and p_2 . Instead of these sixteen quantities we can use the fourteen generators of $\mathcal{P}$ and the two $\hat{L}_{\pm 1}$ by redefining the independent variables (note that this is possible only in four dimensions). Then it turns out that the general states obtained by operating the above quantities repeatedly on the vacuum, $|0-\rangle$, are linear combinations (or infinite sums) of the following states:

$$|n\rangle^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_i} = \hat{L}_{-1}^n \tilde{p}_+^{\mu_1} \dots \tilde{p}_+^{\mu_i} |0-\rangle_1. \quad (19)$$

That is, $\tilde{p}_-^\mu$ and $M^{\mu\nu}$ are redundant operators. This is verified as follows. First note that the scalar state with total momentum k is

$$|k\rangle = e^{ikx_2} |0-\rangle_1 = e^{\frac{i}{2e}k\tilde{p}_+} |0-\rangle_1. \quad (20)$$

Then, by using Eq.(11c), we see the state $\tilde{p}_-^\mu |0-\rangle_1 = \tilde{p}_-^\mu e^{-\frac{i}{2e}k\tilde{p}_+} |k\rangle$ is a linear combination (actually an infinite sum) of the states of the form $\tilde{p}_+^{\mu_1} \dots \tilde{p}_+^{\mu_i} \tilde{p}_-^\mu |k\rangle$. The latter states are further expressed as linear combinations of the states defined by Eq.(19) with $n = 0$, since $\tilde{p}_-^\mu |k\rangle = (k^\mu - \tilde{p}_+^\mu) |k\rangle$. By using Eq.(11c) again, we see the operator $\tilde{p}_-^\mu$ can be always eliminated if it would appear in the definition, Eq.(19), of the general states. Similarly, by using $M^{\mu\nu} |k\rangle \sim |k'\rangle$, we see $M^{\mu\nu}$ are also redundant operators. Since $\{\hat{L}_0, \hat{L}_{\pm 1}\}$ commute with the generators of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\hat{L}_1 |0-\rangle_1 = 0$ the most general state constructed from $|0-\rangle$ is given by Eq.(19), which completes the proof.

The vector space spanned by the system defined by Eq.(19) forms a (reducible) representation of $\mathcal{P}$. Replacing the minus (plus) signs in the above equations by the plus (minus) signs we obtain another representation, and the direct sum of the two representations should exhaust all possible states constructed from the two vacuums $|0\pm\rangle_1$. These representations are divided into invariant representations by specific values of n , since $\{\hat{L}_0, \hat{L}_{\pm 1}\}$ commute

with the generators of $\mathcal{P}$. The representation with $n = 0$ consists of the physical states, and ones with $n \neq 0$ consist of the unphysical states.

On the base of the above argument we conjecture a theorem like the Peter-Wyle, which would claim the completeness of the system defined by

$$u_n^{\pm\mu_1\cdots\mu_i} = \hat{L}_{\pm 1}^n \tilde{p}_{\mp}^{\mu_1} \cdots \tilde{p}_{\mp}^{\mu_i} | 0 \pm \rangle_0. \quad (21)$$

in a sufficiently large space of functions on (x_1, x_2) , where we treat the ghost variables separately. The basis (21) also forms a representation of $SL(2, R)$ generated by $\{\hat{L}_0, \hat{L}_{\pm 1}\}$. In particular we have

$$\hat{L}_0 u_n^{\pm\mu_1\cdots\mu_k} = \mp(n + \frac{D}{4}) u_n^{\pm\mu_1\cdots\mu_k}, \quad (22a)$$

$$\hat{L}_{\pm 1} u_0^{\mp\mu_1\cdots\mu_k} = 0, \quad (22b)$$

where we keep D in the expressions here and hereafter in order to insist that the value $D = 4$ is special also in the field theory.

Leaving aside mathematical problems like the completeness of the system and the complete classification of the irreducible representations of $\mathcal{P}$ for a future study, let us proceed tentatively to construction of a field theory. We assume that a bilocal field is expanded as

$$A(x_1, x_2, c) = \sum_{a=\pm} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\{\mu_i\}} \frac{1}{n!} A_{n\mu_1\cdots\mu_k}^a(c) u_n^{a\mu_1\cdots\mu_k}(x_1, x_2). \quad (23)$$

In order to obtain an action for bilocal fields in the analogous manner as Witten's string field theory [4] let us define the star product of two bilocal fields as follows:

$$A * B = \sum_{a=\pm} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{1}{n!} A_{n-m, \mu_1\cdots\mu_i}^a B_{m-\frac{D}{4}, \mu_{i+1}\cdots\mu_k}^a u_n^{a, \mu_1\cdots\mu_k}, \quad (24)$$

where $A_n = B_n = 0$ for $n < 0$. The factor $\frac{1}{n!}$, in Eqs.(23) and (24), plays some role in what follows. Note the definition of the star product is meaningful only when $\frac{D}{4}$ is integer. The star product is associative and commutative up to the Grassmann parity. Using some

relations like $[\hat{L}_{\pm 1}, \hat{L}_{\mp 1}^n] = n\hat{L}_{\mp 1}^{n-1}(n-1 \pm 2\hat{L}_0)$ we can show the *Leibniz rule* for Q :

$$Q(A * B) = QA * B + (-1)^{N_A} A * QB + \left(\frac{D}{4} - 1\right) \sum_{a=\pm} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{(a)c_a}{n!} A_{n-m+1, \mu_1 \dots \mu_i}^a B_{m-\frac{D}{4}, \mu_{i+1} \dots \mu_k}^a u_n^{a, \mu_1 \dots \mu_k}, \quad (25)$$

where N_A is the Grassmann parity of A . Surprisingly Q behaves as a derivative operator only if $D = 4$.

We assume the bilocal field is Grassmann odd and has the Yang-Mills indices. Equipped with the above tools we can easily write the action of the bilocal field theory as

$$I = \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 dc_1 dc_{-1} dc_0 V[\mathcal{A}_i * Q\mathcal{A}_i - \frac{1}{3} g f^{ijk} \mathcal{A}_i * \mathcal{A}_j * \mathcal{A}_k], \quad (26)$$

where f^{ijk} is the structure constants (with indices raised by the Killing form) and g is the coupling constant. $V = V(x_1, x_2)$ is a measure factor satisfying $\tilde{p}_+^2 V = \tilde{p}_-^2 V = 0$, which guarantees the integral of the *total derivative*, QA , to vanish. Employing the derivative law for Q , which holds only when $D = 4$, the action is shown to be invariant under the gauge transformations defined by

$$\delta \mathcal{A}_i = Q\Lambda_i + g f_i^{jk} \Lambda_j * \mathcal{A}_k, \quad (27)$$

where Λ 's are arbitrary functions of $(x_{1,2}, c_{0,\pm 1})$, which are Grassmann even. $\mathcal{A}$ contains the sectors with various ghost numbers up to three. Among them the sector with ghost number one is of special interest, since it contains the gauge fields of ordinary formulation as is seen from Eq.(27). This sector contains the non-gauge physical fields as well, and the kinetic terms are closed in itself. The sectors with ghost number zero or two do not contain gauge fields, but propagate since they have mixed kinetic terms in Eq.(26). They consist of fermions with integer spins. The fields with ghost number three are all auxiliary ones, since they have not kinetic terms.

In view of the above remarks we see that the simplest framework is obtained by restricting ourselves to the sector with ghost number one. In this sector the Hilbert space

determined by the asymptotic fields is constructed on the vacuum states $|0 \pm\rangle_1$ appeared in the first quantized theory outlined before.

I am grateful to S. Saito and M. Kamata for stimulating discussions.

References

- [1] K. S. Narain, Phys. Lett. **B169** (1986) 41
- [2] R. Casalbuoni and D. Dominici, Phys. Lett. **B186** (1987) 63
- [3] T. Hori, J. Phys. Soc. Jap., **61** (1992) 744
- [4] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B268** (1985) 253
- [5] P. A. M. Dirac, Can. J. Math., **2** (1950) 129
- [6] M. Kato and K. Ogawa, Nucl. Phys. **212** (1983) 443
- [7] T. Kugo and I. Ojima, Phys. Lett. **73B** (1978) 459